

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Page 1, rewrite the paragraph of lines 3-5 to read as follows:

Q1 --This application is a continuation-in-part of application No. 09/426,744 filed October 25, 1999, now U.S. Patent No. 6,258,021. Application No. 09/426,744 was filed as a continuation-in-part of application No. 09/121,477 filed July 23, 1998. This application is also a continuation-in-part of application No. 09/121,477, now U.S. Patent No. 6,155,968.--

REMARKS

Claims 1-19 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 9, and 13 are the only independent claims.

Claims Rejections - Double Patenting

Claims 1-19 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,258,021.

In response to the rejection of claims 1-19 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting, applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer duly executed by the undersigned attorney of record for applicant. Also enclosed is a check in the amount of Fifty-Five Dollars (\$55.00) in payment of the fee for submitting a statutory disclaimer by a small entity. Small entity status applies to this application.

Claims Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,619,307 to Donlon et al.